arXiv:1204.5438v1 [math.DG] 24 Apr 2012

STABILITY UNDER DEFORMATIONS OF HERMITIAN-EINSTEIN ALMOST KÄHLER METRICS

MEHDI LEJMI

Abstract. In this paper, we continue to study stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics on a compact symplectic manifold. In dimension 4, we consider a smooth family of compatible almost-complex structures such that at time zero the induced metric is Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric with zero or negative hermitian scalar curvature. We prove, under certain hypothesis, the existence of a smooth family of compatible almost-complex structures, diffeomorphic at each time to the initial family, and inducing constant hermitian scalar curvature metrics. Furthermore, in any dimension, we show that any family of compatible almost-complex structures invariant under a maximal torus in the hamiltonian group of symplectomorphisms, such that at time zero the induced almost-Kähler metric is extremal, can be deformed to a smooth family inducing extremal almost-Kähler metrics.

1. Introduction

On a $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold (M,ω), an almost-complex structure J is ω-compatible if it induces a riemannian metric g via the relation $g(\cdot,\cdot)=\omega(\cdot,J\cdot)$. The metric g is called then an almost-Kähler metric. In the case when J is integrable, the metric g is Kähler. Given an ω-compatible almost-complex structure J, there exists a canonical hermitian connection with torsion ∇ [15, 21] on the tangent bundle, which preserves both ω and J. The curvature of the induced hermitian connection on the anti-canonical bundle is of the form $\sqrt{-1}\rho^{\nabla}$, where ρ^{∇} is a closed real 2-form called the hermitian Ricci form. The hermitian scalar curvature s^{∇} is defined as the contraction of ρ^{∇} by ω and coincides with the (usual) riemannian scalar curvature when the metric is Kähler. An almost-Kähler metric is called Hermitian-Einstein [19] if the hermitian Ricci form ρ^{∇} satisifies $\rho^{\nabla}=\frac{s^{\nabla}}{2n}\omega$ (in particular s^{∇} is a constant).

On a compact symplectic manifold (M,ω), we consider the space AK_{ω} of all ω-compatible almost-complex structures. This is an infinite dimensional Fréchet space equipped with a formal Kähler structure described by Fujiki [7, 12]. Furthermore, there is a natural action of the hamiltonian group $Ham(M,\omega)$ on AK_{ω} and it turns out that this action is hamiltonian [7, 12] with moment map identified with the hermitian scalar curvature. A metric induced by a critical point of the square norm of the moment map $J\mapsto\int_M(s^{\nabla})^2\omega^n$ is called an extremal almost-Kähler metric [2, 19, 20]. Moreover, an almost-Kähler metric induced by J is extremal if and only if the symplectic gradient of its hermitian scalar curvature is an infinitesimal isometry of J. For instance, extremal Kähler metrics defined by Calabi [6],

---

The work of the author was supported by FQRNT grant.

Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metrics or more generally almost-Kähler metrics with constant hermitian scalar curvature are extremal.

In the Kähler setting, Fujiki–Schumacher [13] and Lebrun–Simanca [18] showed, in the abscence of holomorphic vector fields, that the existence of extremal Kähler metrics is an open condition. Furthermore, Apostolov–Calderbank–Gauduchon–Friedman [1] generealized the latter result by fixing a maximal torus in the reduced automorphism group of the complex manifold (M, J) (for more results see [26, 28]). In the general almost-Kähler case, one expects, from the GIT standard picture [7], the existence and uniqueness of extremal almost-Kähler metrics, up to the action of $Ham(M, \omega)$, in every 'stable complexified' orbit of the action of $Ham(M, \omega)$. The complexification of $Ham(M, \omega)$ does not exist. However, one can complexify the action on the level of the Lie algebra and once we are restricted to the integrable ω-compatible almost-complex structures, a description of this complexified orbit is given when $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ [7]. It is identified with the space of Kähler forms in the cohomology class of ω.

In a previous paper [20], on a compact symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω), we considered a smooth path of ω-compatible almost-complex structures J_t invariant under a (fixed) maximal torus T in $Ham(M, \omega)$ such that J_0 induces an extremal Kähler metric. In particular, J_0 is integrable. Furthermore, we supposed that $h^-_{J_t} = b^+ - 1$ for sufficiently small t, where $h^-_{J_t}$ is the dimension of g_t-harmonic J_t-anti-invariant 2-forms [9] (here g_t is the metric induced by J_t). Then, we showed, for a short time, the existence of smooth family of T-invariant ω-compatible almost-complex structures $\tilde{J}_t$ inducing extremal almost-Kähler metrics such that $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0$ and $\tilde{J}_t$ is diffeomorphic to J_t for each t. In the spirit of Lebrun–Simanca result [18], the proof consists mainly to deform the symplectic form by introducing a notion of almost-Kähler potential (defined only in dimension 4) and then using the Banach implicit function theorem for the hermitian scalar curvature map. The hypothesis $h^-_{J_t} = b^+ - 1$ was necessarily to insure the continuity of the hermitian scalar curvature map since a family of Green operators is involved in the definition of this almost-Kähler potential. Recently, Tan–Wang–Zhang–Zhu [29] suggested that one can remove the assumption $h^-_{J_t} = b^+ - 1$.

Now, if we suppose that J_0 is not integrable, it is not clear how to identify the kernel of the linearization of the hermitian scalar curvature map with the Lie algebra of hamiltonian Killing vector fields even in the simplest case namely when J_0 induces a Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric. The idea in this paper is to define another suitable almost-Kähler potential for which it is possible to study the kernel of the derivative of the hermitian scalar curvature map at least in the latter case. The almost-Kähler potential defined in this paper follows from a generalization of the dd^c-Lemma [3, 14] to the almost-Kähler case. For instance, one can derive a Hodge decomposition of the riemannian dual of a (real) holomorphic vector field on a compact almost-Kähler manifold. When J_0 induces a Hermitian-Einstein metric with zero or negative hermitian scalar curvature, we obtain the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, ω) be a 4-dimensional compact symplectic manifold. Let J_t be any smooth family of ω-compatible almost-complex structures such that J_0 induces a Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric with zero or negative hermitian scalar curvature. Moreover, suppose that for a small t, $h^-_{J_t} = h^-_{J_0} = b^+ - 1$. Then, there exists a smooth family of ω-compatible almost-complex structures $\tilde{J}_t$*

inducing almost-Kähler metrics with constant hermitian scalar curvature such that $\tilde{J}_t$ *is diffeomorphic to* J_t *for each* t *and* $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0$*.*

We note that, in the above theorem, the condition $h^-_{J_t} = h^-_{J_0} = b^+ - 1$ is not to ensure the continuity of the hermitian scalar curvature map but to guarantee the J_t-invariance of the constructed symplectic forms. The latter condition is satisfied in the cases mentionned in [20]. Moreover, by a result of Li and Tomassini [23], any homogeneous almost-Kähler structure (ω, J) on a 4-dimensional compact manifold $M = G/\Gamma$, where G is a simply-connected Lie group and $\Gamma \in G$ a uniform discrete subgroup, verifies $h^-_J = b^+ - 1$. Namely, the Kodaira–Thurston manifold has non-integrable almost-Kähler metrics (ω, J) with vanishing hermitian Ricci form satisfying the condition $h^-_J = b^+ - 1$ [8, 22, 30].

In case when the ω-compatible almost-complex structure J_0 induces a Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric with positive hermitian scalar curvature, the kernel of the linearization of the hermitian scalar curvature map contains but not necessarily equal to the space of hamiltonian Killing vector fields potentials. However, if J_0 is close enough to an integrable ω-compatible almost-complex structure, one can obtain a similar result of stability (see Proposition 4.4).

Now, on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) of any (even) dimension, one can show that any family J_t of ω-compatible almost-complex structures invariant under a maximal torus T in $Ham(M, \omega)$, such that J_0 induces an extremal almost-Kähler metric, can be deformed to a smooth family of extremal almost-Kähler metrics $\tilde{J}_t$ such that $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0$. However, it remains to show that $\tilde{J}_t$ is diffeomorphic to J_t for each t.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* (M, ω) *be a compact symplectic manifold and* T *a maximal torus in* $Ham(M, \omega)$*. Let* J_t *be any smooth family of* ω*-compatible* T*-invariant almost-complex structures such that* J_0 *induces an extremal almost-Kähler metric. Then, we can deform* J_t*, for sufficiently small* t*, to obtain a smooth family of* ω*-compatible* T*-invariant almost-complex structures* $\tilde{J}_t$ *inducing extremal almost-Kähler metrics such that* $\tilde{J}_0 = J_0$*.*

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. An almost-complex structure J is ω-compatible if the induced 2-tensor field $g(\cdot, \cdot) := \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is a riemannian metric. Then, g is called an (ω-compatible) almost-Kähler metric. In the rest of the paper, we identify the induced metric g with the couple (ω, J). If, additionally, the almost-complex structure J is integrable, then (ω, J) is a Kähler metric.

The almost-complex structure J acts on the cotangent bundle T^*M by $J\alpha(X) = -\alpha(JX)$, where α is a 1-form and X a vector field on M. The action of J can be extend to any p-form ψ by $(J\psi)(X_1, \cdots, X_p) = (-1)^p \psi(JX_1, \cdots, JX_p)$. Moreover, any section ψ of the bundle $T^*M \otimes T^*M$ admits an orthogonal splitting $\psi = \psi^{J,+} + \psi^{J,-}$, where $\psi^{J,+}$ is the J-invariant part and $\psi^{J,-}$ is the J-anti-invariant part, given by

$$\psi^{J,+}(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi(\cdot, \cdot) + \psi(J\cdot, J\cdot)\right) \text{ and } \psi^{J,-}(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi(\cdot, \cdot) - \psi(J\cdot, J\cdot)\right).$$

Hence, the bundle of 2-forms $\Lambda^2 M$ decomposes under the action of J as follows

$$\Lambda^2 M = \mathbb{R}\,.\,\omega \oplus \Lambda^{J,-} M \oplus \Lambda_0^{J,+} M, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Lambda^{J,-}M$ is the subbundle of J-anti-invariant 2-forms and $\Lambda_0^{J,+}M$ is the subbundle of J-invariant 2-forms pointwise orthogonal to ω.

The complexified tangent bundle splits as

$$TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_J^{1,0}M \oplus T_J^{0,1}M,$$

where $T_J^{1,0}M$ (resp. $T_J^{1,0}M$) corresponds to the eigenvalue $\sqrt{-1}$ (resp. $-\sqrt{-1}$) under the $\mathbb{C}$-linear action of J. The almost-complex structure J also induces a decomposition of the complexified tangent bundle

$$T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} = \Lambda_J^{1,0}M \oplus \Lambda_J^{0,1}M,$$

where $\Lambda_J^{1,0}M$ (resp. $\Lambda_J^{0,1}M$) is the annihilator of $T_J^{0,1}M$ (resp. $T_J^{1,0}M$). For an almost-Kähler metric (ω, J), the canonical hermitian connection ∇ on the complex tangent bundle (TM, ω, J) is defined by

$$\nabla_X Y = D^g_X Y - \frac{1}{2} J \left(D^g_X J\right) Y,$$

where D^g is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the induced metric g and X, Y are vector fields on M. Let R^∇ be the curvature of ∇. Then, the *hermitian Ricci form* ρ^∇ is defined by

$$\rho^\nabla(X, Y) = -tr(J \circ R^\nabla_{X,Y}),$$

where $R^\nabla_{X,Y}$ is viewed as an anti-hermitian linear operator of (TM, ω, J). The form ρ^∇ is a deRham representative of $2\pi c_1(TM, J)$ in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$, where $c_1(TM, J)$ is the first (real) Chern class. If we suppose that ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are symplectic forms compatible with the same almost-complex structure J and satisfy $\tilde{\omega} = e^F \omega^n$ for some real-valued function F then

$$\tilde{\rho}^\nabla = -\frac{1}{2} dJdF + \rho^\nabla, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}^\nabla$ (resp. ρ^∇) is the hermitian Ricci form of $(\tilde{\omega}, J)$ (resp. (ω, J)).

We define the *hermitian scalar curvature* s^∇ of an almost-Kähler metric (ω, J) as the trace of ρ^∇ with respect to ω, i.e.

$$s^\nabla \omega^n = 2n \left(\rho^\nabla \wedge \omega^{n-1}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Let X be a *symplectic* vector field i.e. $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie derivative. Then, for any ω-compatible almost-complex structure J, we have [14]

$$\mathcal{L}_X J = 2\, J\, (D^g X)^{sym}, \tag{2.4}$$

where D^g is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the induced metric g and $(D^g X)^{sym}$ is the g-symmetric part of the tangent bundle endomorphism $D^g X$. This follows directly from the relation $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$. From the identity $J^2 = -Id$, we deduce that $\mathcal{L}_X J\, J + J\, \mathcal{L}_X J = 0$. Hence, the endomorphism $(D^g X)^{sym}$ anti-commutes with J.

The riemannian Hodge operator $*_g : \Lambda^p M \to \Lambda^{2n-p} M$ is defined to be the unique isomorphism such that $\psi_1 \wedge (*_g)\psi_2 = g(\psi_1, \psi_2) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$, for any p-forms ψ_1 and ψ_2. Moreover, since the dimension of M is even, $(*_g)^2 \psi = (-1)^p \psi$ on p-form ψ. In dimension 4, the bundle of 2-forms decomposes as

$$\Lambda^2 M = \Lambda^+ M \oplus \Lambda^+ M,$$

where $\Lambda^{\pm}M$ corresponds to the eignevalue (± 1) under the action of the riemannian Hodge operator $*_g$. This decomposition is related to the splitting 2.1 in the following way

$$\Lambda^+M = \mathbb{R}\,\omega \oplus \Lambda^{J,-}M \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^-M = \Lambda_0^{J,+}M.$$

2.1. **Generalized dd^c-Lemma.** Let (M, ω, J, g) be a compact almost-Kähler manifold of dimension $2n$. Let δ^g be the *codifferential* defined as the formal adjoint of the Levi-Civita connection D^g with respect to the almost-Kähler metric g when it is applied to sections of $\otimes^p T^*M$. In particular, it is the adjoint of the exterior derivative d when it is applied to p-forms and are related by $\delta^g = -*_g\, d\, *_g$ since the dimension of M is even. Equivalently, $d = *_g\delta^g *_g$. Denote by $\Delta^g = d\delta^g + \delta^g d$ the Laplacian and $\mathbb{G}$ the Green operator associated to Δ^g. The riemannian Hodge operator $*_g$ commutes with Δ^g. It follows that $*_g$ commutes with $\mathbb{G}$.

Let $\delta^c = (-1)^p J\delta^g J$ be the *twisted codifferential* acting on p-forms. This is the *symplectic adjoint* of d. Define the *twisted differential* d^c by $d^c = (-1)^p JdJ$ acting on p-forms and let $\Delta^c = d^c\delta^c + \delta^c d^c$ be the *twisted Laplacian* and $\mathbb{G}^c$ the Green operator associated to Δ^c. For any smooth function f, the following holds [14]

$$dd^c f + d^c df = 2D^g_{(df)^{\sharp_g}}\omega, \tag{2.5}$$

where D^g is the Levi-Civita connection (here $\sharp_g$ stands for the isomorphism between T^*M and TM via the metric g).

We denote by Λ_ω the contraction by the symplectic form ω defined for a p-form ψ by $\Lambda_\omega(\psi) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\psi(e_i, Je_i, \cdots)$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a local J-adapted orthonormal frame. The commutator of Λ_ω and d^c is given by [14, 24]

$$[\Lambda_\omega, d^c] = \delta^g. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows that $d^c\delta^g + \delta^g d^c = 0$. Furthermore, since Λ_ω commutes with J, the relation 2.6 implies [14]

$$[\Lambda_\omega, d] = -\delta^c. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, if L_ω is the adjoint of Λ_ω acting on a p-form ψ by $L_\omega\psi = \omega\wedge\psi$, then [14]

$$[L_\omega, \delta^g] = d^c. \tag{2.8}$$

Corollary 2.1. *The codifferential δ^g and the exterior derivative d (resp. δ^c and d^c) commute with $\mathbb{G}$ (resp. $\mathbb{G}^c$).*

Proof. Let ψ a p-form. It follows form the Hodge decomposition $\psi = \psi_H + \Delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi$ (here ψ_H denotes the harmonic part with respect to Δ^g) that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^g\psi &= \delta^g\Delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi, \\ \delta^g\psi &= \Delta^g\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi, \\ \mathbb{G}\delta^g\psi &= \mathbb{G}\Delta^g\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi, \\ \mathbb{G}\delta^g\psi &= \delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi - (\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi)_H. \end{aligned}$$

But, it follows from the Hodge decomposition $\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi = (\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi)_H + \delta^g d\mathbb{G}(\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi)$ that $(\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi)_H = 0$. In the same way, one can show that d commutes with $\mathbb{G}$. Furthermore, one can prove similarly that δ^c and d^c commute with $\mathbb{G}^c$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *On a compact almost-Kähler manifold, let ψ be any J-invariant p-form satisfying $\psi = d\phi$ for some $(p-1)$ form ϕ. Then,*

$$\psi = d\mathbb{G}d^c\tilde{\psi} = \mathbb{G}dd^c\tilde{\psi},$$

for some $(p-2)$-form $\tilde{\psi}$.

Proof. It follows from the Hodge decomposition with respect to Δ^g of ψ and since $d\psi = 0$ that

$$\psi = (\psi)_H + d\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi = d\delta^g\mathbb{G}\psi. \tag{2.9}$$

Recall that $(\psi)_H$=0 because ψ is d-exact.

On the other hand, $d^c\psi = 0$ since ψ is J-invariant. So, it follows from the Hodge decomposition with respect to Δ^c that $\psi = (\psi)_{H^c} + d^c\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi$ (here $(\psi)_{H^c}$ denotes the harmonic part with respect to Δ^c, in particular $d^c(\psi)_{H^c} = \delta^c(\psi)_{H^c} = 0$). Plugging this in 2.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi &= d\delta^g\mathbb{G}\Big(\big((\psi)_{H^c}\big) + d^c\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi\Big),\\
&= d\delta^g\mathbb{G}\big((\psi)_{H^c}\big) + d\delta^g\mathbb{G}(d^c\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi),\\
&= d\mathbb{G}\delta^g\big((\psi)_{H^c}\big) + d\mathbb{G}\delta^g(d^c\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi),\\
&= d\mathbb{G}[\Lambda_\omega, d^c]\big((\psi)_{H^c}\big) - d\mathbb{G}d^c(\delta^g\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi),\\
&= -d\mathbb{G}d^c\Lambda_\omega((\psi)_{H^c}) - d\mathbb{G}d^c(\delta^g\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi),\\
&= d\mathbb{G}d^c\Big(-\Lambda_\omega\big((\psi)_{H^c}\big) - \delta^g\delta^c\mathbb{G}^c\psi\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Corollary 2.1, the equality 2.6 and the fact that $d^c\delta^g + \delta^g d^c = 0$. The lemma follows.

In the Kähler case, remark that $\Delta = \Delta^c$ so $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}^c$. Hence, $d^c\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}d^c$. Then, $\psi = d\mathbb{G}d^c\tilde{\psi} = dd^c(\mathbb{G}\tilde{\psi})$. □

Proposition 2.3. *On a compact almost-Kähler manifold, let ψ_1, ψ_2 be any two J-invariant closed 2-forms and determine the same de Rham cohomology class. Then, there exists a real function f, uniquely defined up to an additive constant, such that*

$$\psi_1 - \psi_2 = d\mathbb{G}d^c f = \mathbb{G}dd^c f.$$

Proof. This is a direct application of Lemma 2.2 for $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$. If $\mathbb{G}dd^c f = 0$ then $dd^c f$ is harmonic. Since M is compact, $dd^c f = 0$. By the equality 2.6, it follows that $\Delta^g f = \delta^g df = [\Lambda_\omega, d^c]df = \Lambda_\omega d^c df = 0$ (because $d^c df = -Jdd^c f$). So f is constant as M is compact. □

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2, we obtain a Hodge decomposition of the riemannian dual of a (real) holomorphic vector field on a compact almost-Kähler manifold (M, ω, J, g). Recall that a (real) vector field X is called holomorphic if it is an infinitesimal isometry of J i.e. $\mathfrak{L}_X J = 0$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a holomorphic vector field on a compact almost-Kähler manifold and $\xi = X^{\flat_g}$ the dual of X with respect to the metric g. Then, we have*

$$\xi = (\xi)_{H^c} + d^c f - J\mathbb{G}d^c g, \tag{2.10}$$

where f, g are real functions, uniquely defined up additive constants. Here $(\xi)_{H^c}$ denotes the harmonic part with respect to Δ^c.

Remark that in the Kähler case, $(\xi)_{H^c} = \xi_H$ and $-J\mathbb{G}d^c g = d(\mathbb{G}g)$.

Proof. Since X is holomorphic, a direct computation shows that $\mathfrak{L}_X\omega$ is a J-invariant 2-form. Indeed, for any vector fields Y, Z

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{L}_X\omega)(JY,JZ) &= X.(\omega(JY,JZ)) - \omega(\mathfrak{L}_X(JY),JZ) - \omega(JY,\mathfrak{L}_X(JZ))\\ &= X.(\omega(Y,Z)) - \omega(J\mathfrak{L}_XY,JZ) - \omega(JY,J\mathfrak{L}_XZ)\\ &= (\mathfrak{L}_X\omega)(Y,Z).\end{aligned}$$

This means that $dJ\xi$ is J-invariant. By Lemma 2.2, $dJ\xi = d\mathbb{G}d^cg$, for a function g uniquely defined up to a constant. The Hodge decomposition with respect to Δ^c of ξ is given by $\xi = (\xi)_{H^c} + d^cf + \delta^c\phi$ for some real function f and 2-form ϕ. Then

$$dJ\xi = -d\delta^gJ\phi = d\mathbb{G}d^cg. \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover, using equality 2.8, we have $d\mathbb{G}d^cg = -d\mathbb{G}\delta^g(g\omega) = -d\delta^g\mathbb{G}(g\omega)$. So, from 2.11 we have $-d\delta^gJ\phi = -d\delta^g\mathbb{G}(g\omega)$, thus $-\delta^gJ\phi = -\delta^g\mathbb{G}(g\omega) = -\mathbb{G}\delta^g(g\omega) = \mathbb{G}d^cg$. The corollary follows. □

Now, given any function f, it is natural to wonder whether $d\mathbb{G}d^cf$ is J-invariant.

Proposition 2.5. *In dimension $2n = 4$, for any smooth function f,*

$$(d\mathbb{G}d^cf)^{J,-} = \frac{1}{2}(f\omega)_H - \frac{1}{4}g\left((f\omega)_H,\omega\right)\omega.$$

In particular, if $h_J^- = b^+ - 1$, then $d\mathbb{G}d^cf$ is J-invariant for any smooth function f with zero integral.

Proof. Using Corollary 2.1, the equality 2.8 and the fact that the Hodge operator $*_g$ commutes with $\mathbb{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(d\mathbb{G}d^cf)^{J,-} &= (-d\mathbb{G}\delta^g(f\omega))^{J,-}\\ &= (-\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega))^{J,-}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}(I+*_g)(-\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega)) - \frac{1}{4}g\left((I+*_g)(-\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega)),\omega\right)\omega\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega) - \frac{1}{2}*_g\mathbb{G}d\delta^g*_g(f\omega) - \frac{1}{4}g\left((I+*_g)(-\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega)),\omega\right)\omega\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{G}\delta^gd(f\omega) - \frac{1}{4}\left((I+*_g)(-\mathbb{G}d\delta^g(f\omega)),\omega\right)\omega\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{G}\Delta^g(f\omega) + \frac{1}{4}g\left(\mathbb{G}\Delta^g(f\omega),\omega\right)\omega\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}f\omega + \frac{1}{2}(f\omega)_H + \frac{1}{2}f\omega - \frac{1}{4}g\left((f\omega)_H,\omega\right)\omega\\ &= \frac{1}{2}(f\omega)_H - \frac{1}{4}g\left((f\omega)_H,\omega\right)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the convention $g(\omega,\omega) = 2$. In case when $h_J^- = b^+ - 1$, we have $(f\omega)_H = 0$ for any smooth function f with zero integral. Indeed, under the latter assumption, for any g-harmonic 2-form ψ (with respect to Δ^g), the pairing $g(\omega,\psi)$ is a constant function. Thus, given a function f with zero integral, we obtain $< (f\omega)_H,\psi >_{L_2} = \int_M fg(\omega,\psi)\frac{\omega^2}{2!} = 0$. Hence, $(f\omega)_H = 0$ and therefore $d\mathbb{G}d^cf$ is J-invariant.

□

Thus, in dimension 4, when $h_J^- = b^+ - 1$, the symplectic form $\omega + d\mathbb{G}d^c f$ is J-invariant for any function f with zero integral and so f is called *almost-Kähler potential* when $(\omega + d\mathbb{G}d^c f, J)$ induces a riemannian metric. Again remark that in the Kähler case, $d\mathbb{G}d^c f = dd^c\mathbb{G}f$, hence $\phi = \mathbb{G}f$ coincides with the usual Kähler potential.

The 'potential' $\mathbb{G}f$ coincides with the potential defined by Weinkove [31] in the following way. Given a symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ compatible with J and cohomologous to ω, then $\tilde{\omega} - \omega = d\mathbb{G}d^c f$, for some function f with zero integral. Now, let $\phi = \mathbb{G}f$ (so $\Delta^g\phi = f$) then

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbb{G}d^c f &= d\mathbb{G}d^c\Delta^g\phi \\ &= d\mathbb{G}d^c\delta^g d\phi \\ &= -\mathbb{G}d\delta^g d^c d\phi \\ &= \mathbb{G}\Delta^g(dd^c\phi) - 2\mathbb{G}d\delta^g D^g_{(d\phi)^{\sharp_g}}\omega \\ &= dd^c\phi - 2d\delta^g\mathbb{G}D^g_{(d\phi)^{\sharp_g}}\omega, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $d^c\delta^g + \delta^g d^c = 0$ and the equality 2.5. The function ϕ corresponds to ϕ_0 in the terminology of [31].

3. Extremal almost-Kähler metrics

Let (M, ω) be a compact and connected symplectic manifold. Let AK_ω be the Fréchet space of ω-compatible almost-complex structures. The tangent space T_JAK_ω, at a point J, is identified with the space of g-symmetric endomorphisms of TM which anti-commute with J (here g is the metric induced by J). Furthermore, T_JAK_ω can also be represented by the space of 1-forms $\mu \in \Omega_J^{0,1}(T_J^{1,0}M)$ which satisfy $\omega(\mu(X), Y) + \omega(X, \mu(Y)) = 0$. Via the hermitian metric $h_J(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot) - i\omega(\cdot,\cdot)$, it is identified with the subspace of complex symmetric forms, sections of $\Lambda_J^{0,1}M \otimes \Lambda_J^{0,1}M$. The space AK_ω comes naturally equipped with a formal Kähler structure $(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{J})$ described first by Fujiki in [7, 12]. For $\dot{J}_1, \dot{J}_2 \in T_JAK_\omega$, the Kähler form $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is given by $\mathbf{\Omega}_J(\dot{J}_1, \dot{J}_2) = \int_M tr(J \circ \dot{J}_1, \circ\dot{J}_2)\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ and $\mathbf{J}$ by $\mathbf{J}_J(\dot{J}_1) = J \circ \dot{J}_1$.

Let $Ham(M, \omega)$ be the (infinite dimensional) group of hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of (M, ω). The Lie algebra of $Ham(M, \omega)$ is identified, via the symplectic form ω, with $C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ the space of real-valued smooth functions on M with zero integral.

A key observation, made by Fujiki [12] in the integrable case and by Donaldson [7] in the general almost-Kähler case, asserts that the natural action of $Ham(M, \omega)$ on AK_ω is hamiltonian with momentum given by the hermitian scalar curvature. More precisely, the moment map $\mu : AK_\omega \to (Lie(Ham(M, \omega)))^*$ is

$$\mu_J(f) = \int_M s^\nabla f\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$$

where s^∇ is the hermitian scalar curvature of (ω, J) and f is a smooth function with zero mean value viewed as an element of $Lie(Ham(M, \omega))$. The square-norm of the hermitian scalar curvature defines a functional on AK_ω

$$J \mapsto \int_M \left(s^\nabla\right)^2\frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.1. The critical points (ω, J) of the functional (3.1) are called *extremal almost-Kähler metrics.*

Proposition 3.2. *An almost-Kähler metric (ω, J) is a critical point of (3.1) if and only if $grad_\omega s^\nabla$ is a Killing vector field.*

A proof of Proposition 3.2 is given in [2, 14, 19].

The formula for the variation of the hermitian scalar curvature s^{∇_t} of (ω, J_t) along a path $J_t \in AK_\omega$ is a direct consequence of Mohsen formula [14, 19, 25] and is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} s^{\nabla_t} = -\delta^{g_t} J \delta^{g_t} \dot{J}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\dot{J} = \frac{d}{dt} J_t$ and δ^{g_t} is the codifferential with respect to the metric g_t induced by J_t.

The variation of the hermitian scalar curvature in AK_ω can be also deduced from the moment map set up in the following way [7]. The infinitesimal action of $Ham(M, \omega)$ on $J \in AK_\omega$ is given by the operator

$$\begin{array}{rcl} P : C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & T_J AK_\omega \\ f & \mapsto & \mathfrak{L}_{X_f} J, \end{array}$$

where X_f is the hamiltonain vector field associated to f (here $Lie(Ham(M, \omega))$ is identified with $C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$). Let $Q : T_J AK_\omega \rightarrow C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ be the operator which represents the derivative of the map $J \mapsto \mathring{s}^\nabla$ at a point J (here $\mathring{s}^\nabla$ is the integral zero part of s^∇). From the definition of moment map, we have $< Q(\dot{J}), f >_{L_2} = \mathbf{\Omega}_J(\dot{J}, P(f))$, where $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the Kähler form on AK_ω. Hence, $Q = P^* \circ J$, where P^* is the adjoint of P with respect to the L^2 inner product.

The standard picture in GIT [7, 11] suggests the existence and the uniqueness of extremal almost-Kähler metrics, up to the action of $Ham(M, \omega)$, in every 'stable complexified' orbit of the action of $Ham(M, \omega)$. Since the complexification of $Ham(M, \omega)$ does not exist, we can complexify this action at the level of Lie algebra. The infinitesimal action of a function $\sqrt{-1} f$ on J corresponds to the variation $P(\sqrt{-1} f) := JP(f) = J \mathfrak{L}_{X_f} J$, which is an extension of the operator P to

$$P : C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T_J AK_\omega.$$

If J is integrable, then $P(\sqrt{-1} f) = \mathfrak{L}_{JX_f} J$. Note that the action of the vector field JX_f on ω si given by $\mathfrak{L}_{JX_f} \omega = dJdf$. When $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, we can deduce that the 'complexified' orbit corresponds, up to the action of diffeomorphisms, to the Kähler metrics in $[\omega]$. Generally, two almost-complex structures J_0 and J_1 are in the same 'complexified' orbit if there exist $h_t \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ and a path $J_t \in AK_\omega$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ such that $\frac{d}{dt} J_t = P_t(h_t)$, where the operator $P_t : C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T_{J_t} AK_\omega$ depends on the almost-complex structure J_t [28].

Now, we fix a compact group G in $Ham(M, \omega)$ and denote by $\mathfrak{g}_\omega$ the finite dimensional space of real-valued smooth functions on M which are hamiltonians with zero mean value of elements of $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$. Denote by Π_ω^G the L^2-orthogonal projection of G-invariant smooth functions on $\mathfrak{g}_\omega$ with respect to the volume form $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$. Let AK_ω^G be the space of ω-compatible G-invariant almost-complex structures. The space AK_ω^G is still contractible and connected. Then, we have the following (for more details see [1, 14, 19, 20])

Proposition 3.3. *The projection $\Pi_\omega^G s^\nabla$ is independant of $J \in AK_\omega^G$*

Now, let $G = T$ be a maximal torus in $Ham(M, \omega)$ and $z^T_\omega = \Pi^T_\omega s^\nabla$. Then,

Proposition 3.4. *A T-invariant almost-Kähler metric (ω, J) is extremal if and only if*

$$\mathring{s}^\nabla = z^T_\omega,$$

where $\mathring{s}^\nabla$ is the integral zero part of the hermitian scalar curvature s^∇ of (ω, J).

The vector field $Z^T_\omega := grad_\omega z^T_\omega$ is called the extremal vector field relative to T and is invariant under isotopy of ω. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}^T_\omega$ the set of all T-invariant almost-Kähler metrics induced by T-invariant symplectic forms isotopic to ω. Then, we have

Corollary 3.5. *If $\mathfrak{M}^T_\omega$ contains a metric with constant hermitian scalar curvature, then $Z^T_\omega = 0$. Conversely, if $Z^T_\omega = 0$, any extremal metric in $\mathfrak{M}^T_\omega$ is of constant hermitian scalar curvature.*

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let (M, ω) be a 4-dimensional compact and connected symplectic manifold. Suppose that J_0 is an ω-compatible almost-complex structure which induces a Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric with zero or negative hermitian scalar curvature i.e. the hermitian Ricci form ρ^∇ of (ω, J_0) satisfies $\rho^\nabla = \frac{s^\nabla}{4}\omega$ with $s^\nabla \leqslant 0$. Moreover, suppose $h^-_{J_0} = b^+ - 1$, where $h^-_{J_0}$ is the dimension of g_0-harmonic J_0-anti-invariant 2-forms [9]. Let J_t a smooth family of ω-compatible almost-complex structures in AK_ω such that $h^-_{J_t} = h^-_{J_0} = b^+ - 1$ for a small t. Denote by $g_t(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J_t \cdot)$ the induced metric.

We consider the following almost-Kähler deformations

$$\omega_{t,f} = \omega + d\mathbb{G}_t J_t df,$$

where $\mathbb{G}_t$ is the Green operator associated to the Laplacian operator Δ^{g_t} with respect to the metric g_t and $f \in C^\infty_0(M, \mathbb{R})$ a smooth function with zero mean value.

By Proposition 2.5, the assumption $h^-_{J_t} = b^+ - 1$ implies that $\omega_{t,f}$ is J_t-invariant. Then, we define the map:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi: & \mathbb{R} \times C^\infty_0(M, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & C^\infty_0(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ & (t, f) & \longmapsto & \mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,f}}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,f}}$ is the zero integral part of the hermitian scalar curvature $s^{\nabla_{t,f}}$ of the almost-Kähler metric $(\omega_{t,f}, J_t)$. We have $\Phi(t, f) = 0$ if and only if $(\omega_{t,f}, J_t)$ is an almost-Kähler metric with constant hermitian scalar curvature. In particular, $\Phi(0, 0) = 0$.

Let $W^{p,k}$ be the completion of $C^\infty_0(M, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\| \cdot \|_{p,k}$ involving derivatives up to order k. Denote by $\Phi^{(p,k)} : \mathbb{R} \times W^{p,k+2} \longrightarrow W^{p,k}$ the extension of Φ to the Sobolev completion of $C^\infty_0(M, \mathbb{R})$. The kernel of the Laplacian Δ^{g_t} are g_t-harmonic p-forms and thus the dimension of the kernel of Δ^{g_t} is independant of t. Hence, we deduce from Kodaira–Spencer result [16, 17] that $\mathbb{G}_t$ is a C^1 map. Thus, the map $\Phi^{(p,k)}$ is clearly a C^1 map.

Using the formula 2.2 and definition of the hermitan scalar curvature, we have the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let (M,ω,J,g) be a 4-dimensional compact almost-Kähler manifold. Denote by $\mathbb{G}$ the Green operator associated to the Laplacian Δ^g. Suppose that $d\mathbb{G}d^c f$ is J-invariant for any function f. Then, for any almost-Kähler variation $\dot{\omega} = d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f}$ of the symplectic form ω ($\dot{f}$ with zero integral), the variation of the volume form, of the hermitian Ricci form and the hermitan scalar curvature are given by*

$$\dot{\left(\omega^2\right)} = (\delta^g J\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f})\,\omega^2 = -\dot{f}\omega^2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\dot{\rho^\nabla} = \frac{1}{2}dd^c\dot{f}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\dot{s^\nabla} = -\Delta^g\dot{f} - 2g(\rho^\nabla, d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f}). \tag{4.3}$$

Remark that, in the Kähler case, if we substitute $\dot{f}$ by $\Delta^g\dot{\phi}$, then the above variations coincide with the variation $\dot{\omega} = dd^c\dot{\phi}$ of the Kähler form ω in the (fixed) Kähler class [14].

Proof. Let $\omega_t = \omega + td\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f}$. Then, using the relation 2.7, $\dot{\left(\omega^2\right)} = \frac{d}{dt}\omega_t|_{t=0} = g(d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f},\omega)\,\omega^2 = (\delta^g J\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f})\,\omega^2$. Moreover, using the fact that $d\delta^c + \delta^c d = 0$ and the J-invariance of $d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\delta^g J\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f} &= -d\delta^c\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f} \\ &= \delta^c d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f} \\ &= J\delta^g d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f} \\ &= J\Delta^g\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f} \\ &= Jd^c\dot{f} = -d\dot{f} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dot{f}$ has zero integral, we obtain the second equality in 4.1. The variation of the hermitian Ricci form 4.2 follows from 2.2 while the expression 4.3 is a consequence of 2.3. □

Since (ω, J_0) is Hermitan-Einstein and $g(d\mathbb{G}d^c\dot{f},\omega) = -\dot{f}$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that the partial derivative $\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial f}|_{(0,0)}$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial f}|_{(0,0)}(\dot{f}) = -\Delta^{g_0}\dot{f} + \frac{s^\nabla}{2}\dot{f}, \tag{4.4}$$

where s^∇ is the hermitian scalar curvature of the Hermitian-Einstein metric (ω, J_0). Clearly, $\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial f}|_{(0,0)}$ is a self-adjoint elliptic linear operator. Furthermore, it is an isomorphism of $C^\infty_0(M,\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, suppose that $-\Delta^{g_0}\dot{f} + \frac{s^\nabla}{2}\dot{f} = 0$ for a function $\dot{f}$ (with zero integral), then $\Delta^{g_0}\dot{f} = \frac{s^\nabla}{2}\dot{f}$. By hypothesis, $s^\nabla \leqslant 0$. As M is compact, $\dot{f} \equiv 0$. The natural extension of $\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial f}|_{(0,0)}$ to $W^{p,k+2}$ is again an isomorphism from $W^{p,k+2}$ to $W^{p,k}$. It follows from the implicit function theorem for Banach spaces that there exists $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for $|t| < \epsilon$, there exists f_t satisfying $\|f_t\|_{p,k} < \delta$ such that $\Psi^{(p,k)}(t, f_t) = 0$. Hence, for each $|t| < \epsilon$, (ω_{t,f_t}, J_t) is an almost-Kähler metric with constant hermitian scalar curvature of regularity $W^{p,k+2}$. It follows from the bootstraping argument used in [20] that (ω_{t,f_t}, J_t) are a family of smooth almost-Kähler metrics with constant hermitian scalar curvature. The theorem follows from the Moser Lemma.

Example 4.2. Theorem 1.1 may be applied to the Kodaira–Thurston manifold given by $S^1 \times (Nil^3/\Gamma)$ where

$$Nil^3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \ x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

and Γ is the subgroup of Nil^3 consisting of elements with integral entries. The 1-forms $dt, dx, dy, dz - xdy$ are invariant under the action of Γ (here t is the S^1 coordinate).

By a result of Li and Tomassini [23], any homogeneous almost-Kähler structure (ω, J) on $S^1 \times (Nil^3/\Gamma)$ has $h^-_J = b^+ - 1 = 1$. Namely, the following symplectic form

$$\omega = dx \wedge dt + dy \wedge (dz - xdy)$$

and the non-integrable ω-compatible almost-complex structure

$$Jdx = dt, \qquad Jdy = (dz - xdy).$$

verifies $h^-_J = b^+ - 1$. Moreover, the hermitian Ricci form ρ^∇ of (ω, J) is zero [8, 22, 30].

Remark 4.3. We will discuss now the case when (ω, J_0) induces a Hermtian-Einstein metric with positive hermitian scalar curvature. For instance, a result in [19] ensures the existence of an infinite family of non-integrable Hermitian-Einstein metrics (with positive hermitian scalar curvature) on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ which are deformations of the (integrable) Kähler-Einstein metric.

We fix a maximal torus T in $Ham(M, \omega)$ and we consider a family J_t of ω-compatible T-invariant almost-complex structures such that $h^-_{J_t} = h^-_{J_0} = b^+ - 1$. Moreover, we suppose that J_0 induces a Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric with positive hermitian scalar curvature. We consider the almost-Kähler deformations

$$\omega_{t,\phi} = \omega + d\mathbb{G}_t J_t d\Delta^{g_t}\phi,$$

with ϕ in the Fréchet space $\widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M, \mathbb{R})$ of T-invariant smooth functions (with zero mean value), which are L^2-orthogonal, with respect to $\frac{\omega^2}{2!}$, to the hamiltonians potentials $\mathfrak{t}_\omega$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t} = Lie(T)$. We consider the map

$$\begin{array}{llll} \Phi : & \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ & (t, \phi) & \longmapsto & (Id - \Pi^T_\omega) \circ (Id - \Pi^T_{\omega_{t,\phi}}) \mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,\phi}}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M, \mathbb{R})$ is an enough small open set containig $(0, 0)$ such that the kernel of $(Id - \Pi^T_\omega) \circ (Id - \Pi^T_{\omega_{t,\phi}})$ is equal to the kernel of $(Id - \Pi^T_{\omega_{t,\phi}})$. Remark that $\Phi(t, \phi) = 0$ if and only if $(\omega_{t,\phi}, J_t)$ is an extremal almost-Kähler metric. However, the extremal vector relative to T vanishes because (ω, J_0) is Hermitian-Einstein. Then, Corollary 3.5 implies that the metric $(\omega_{t,\phi}, J_t)$ is of constant hermitian scalar curvature. We extend Φ to a map from an open set in $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{W}^{p,k+4}$ to $\widetilde{W}^{p,k}$, where $\widetilde{W}^{p,k}$ is the Sobolev completion of $\widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M, \mathbb{R})$. The extended map is C^1 since $\mathbb{G}_t$ is C^1.

Using the vanishing of the extremal vector field, the linearisation of $(Id - \Pi^T_{\omega_{t,f}}) \mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,\phi}}$ is given by the operator

$$L(\dot{\phi}) = \frac{\partial (Id - \Pi^T_{\omega_{t,f}}) \mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,\phi}}}{\partial \phi} |_{(0,0)}(\dot{\phi}) = -\left(\Delta^{g_0}\right)^2 \dot{\phi} + \frac{s^\nabla}{2} \Delta^{g_0} \dot{\phi}. \tag{4.5}$$

The operator L is strongly elliptic self adjoint operator leaving invariant $(\mathfrak{t}_\omega)^\perp$ since $L(\dot\phi) = 0$ for any $\dot\phi \in \mathfrak{t}_\omega$. Indeed, if $X = grad_\omega \dot\phi$ is a hamiltonian Killing vector field with respect to the metric g_0, then by [19, Lemma 2.6], $\dot\phi$ verifies $-\frac{1}{2} d\Delta^{g_0}\dot\phi = \rho^\nabla(X,\cdot)$. Since (ω, J_0) is Hermitan-Einstein, we have $-\frac{1}{2} d\Delta^{g_0}\dot\phi = \frac{s^\nabla}{4} d\dot\phi$ and hence $-\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^{g_0}\right)^2\dot\phi = \frac{s^\nabla}{4}\Delta^{g_0}\dot\phi$. So, we deduce that $(Id - \Pi^T_\omega)L(\dot\phi) = L(\dot\phi)$. Hence, $\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\phi}|_{(0,0)}(\dot\phi) = L(\dot\phi)$.

A direct computation shows that $L(\dot\phi) = \delta^{g_0}\delta^{g_0}\left(\left(D^{g_0}J_0 d\dot\phi\right)^{sym}_{J_0\cdot,\cdot}\right)$. Clearly, the kernel is not necessarily equal to $\mathfrak{t}_\omega$ but contains the latter space. Remark that, when J is a T-invariant ω-compatible integrable almost-complex structure, the operator $\widetilde{L}$ given by $\widetilde{L}(f) = \delta^g\delta^g\left(\left(D^g J df\right)^{sym}_{J\cdot,\cdot}\right) = -\delta^g\delta^g(D^g df)^{J,-}$ is the *Lichnerowicz operator* [1, 20] which leaves invariant $(\mathfrak{t}_\omega)^\perp$. By the maximality of the torus T, the Lichnerowicz operator is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M,\mathbb{R})$. Thus, if J_0 is close enough to an integrable T-invariant almost-complex structure J, then by Kodaira–Spencer result [16, 17], the dimension of the kernel of L (as an operator acting on $\widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M,\mathbb{R})$) is less or equal to the kernel of the Lichnerowicz operator. Hence, L is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M,\mathbb{R})$ and again an isomorphism from $\widetilde{W}^{p,k+4}$ to $\widetilde{W}^{p,k}$. Using essentially the same arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have the following proposition

Proposition 4.4. *Let (M,ω) be a 4-dimensional compact symplectic manifold and T be a maximal torus in $Ham(M,\omega)$. Let J_t be any smooth family of ω-compatible T-invariant almost-complex structure such that J_0 induces a Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metric with positive hermitian scalar curvature and close enough to an integrable T-invariant ω-compatible almost-complex structure. Moreover, suppose that for a small t, $h^-_{J_t} = h^-_{J_0} = b^+ - 1$. Then, there exists a smooth family of ω-compatible T-invariant almost-complex structures $\tilde J_t$ inducing almost-Kähler metrics with constant hermitian scalar curvature such that $\tilde J_t$ is diffeomorphic to J_t and $\tilde J_0 = J_0$.*

5. Construction of ω-compatible almost-complex structures

Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold and J an ω-compatible almost-complex structure. Following [4, 5, 29], given a symmetric J-anti-invariant ψ section of $T^*M \otimes T^*M$, we can construct another ω-compatible almost-complex structure. Indeed, let Ψ the endomorphsim of TM associated to ψ defined by $g(\Psi\cdot,\cdot) := \psi(\cdot,\cdot)$, where g is the metric induced by (ω, J).

The endomorphism Ψ anti-commutes with J. Then, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$

$$\Psi^{2k-1}J + J\Psi^{2k-1} = 0, \qquad \Psi^{2k}J - J\Psi^{2k} = 0.$$

Let J_ψ the endomorphism of TM defined by

$$J_\psi := J \circ \exp(\Psi),$$

where $\exp(\Psi) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!}(\Psi)^k$. Remark that Ψ anti-commutes with J_ψ.

One can check directly that $J\circ\exp(\Psi) = \exp(-\Psi)\circ J$. It follows that J_ψ is an almost-complex structure. Indeed, $J^2_\psi = J\circ\exp(\Psi)\circ\exp(-\Psi)\circ J = -Id$. Moreover, the almost-complex structure J_ψ is ω-compatible. In fact, ω is J_ψ-invariant

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(J_\psi\cdot, J_\psi\cdot) &= g(JJ_\psi\cdot, J_\psi\,\cdot\,) = g\big(J\,J\circ\exp(\Psi)\cdot, \exp(-\Psi)\circ J\,\cdot\,\big)\\ &= -g\big(\exp(\Psi)\cdot, \exp(-\Psi)\circ J\,\cdot\,\big) = -g(\cdot, J\cdot) = \omega(\cdot,\cdot).\end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\omega(X, J_\psi X) = g\big(X, \exp(\Psi)X\big) > 0$ for any non-zero vector field X. Note that Ψ is symmetric with respect to $g_\psi(\cdot,\cdot) := \omega(\cdot, J_\psi\cdot)$ and $\exp(\Psi)$ is symmetric and positive definite with respect to g_ψ.

Let AK_ω be the space of all ω-compatible almost-complex structures. Any $\tilde{J} \in AK_\omega$ can be obtained from J by the above construction [14]. More explicitely, consider the endomorphism $S = -J\tilde{J}$ of the tangent bunble. Then, S is symmetric and positive definite with respect to $\tilde{g}$ and g (the metrics induced repectively by $\tilde{J}$ and J). Indeed, $g(SX, Y) = g(-J\tilde{J}X, Y) = -\omega(\tilde{J}X, Y) = \tilde{g}(X, Y)$ and $\tilde{g}(SX, Y) = -\tilde{g}(J\tilde{J}X, Y) = g(\tilde{J}X, \tilde{J}Y)$. Hence, the endomorphism $S = \exp(\Psi)$ for a (unique) symmetric endomorphism Ψ with respect to $\tilde{g}$ and g. Since $\tilde{J}^2 = J^2 = -Id$, we deduce that Ψ anti-commutes with $\tilde{J}$ and J. By construction, $\tilde{J} = JS = J \circ \exp(\Psi)$.

More generally, let $Aut(TM, \omega)$ be the space of smooth sections of the bundle of all automorphisms of the tangent bundle TM preserving ω i.e. for $\gamma \in Aut(TM, \omega)$, $\omega(\gamma\cdot, \gamma\cdot) = \omega(\cdot,\cdot)$. The group $Aut(TM, \omega)$ can be considered as an infinite dimensional Lie group. Its Lie algebra is the space of fields of endomorphisms Ψ of TM satisfying $\omega(\Psi\cdot, \cdot) + \omega(\cdot, \Psi\cdot) = 0$. The group $Aut(TM, \omega)$ acts transitively on AK_ω by $\gamma\, J\gamma^{-1}$, where $\gamma \in Aut(TM, \omega)$ [14]. Indeed, as discussed above, for $J, \tilde{J} \in AK_\omega$, there exists a unique $\Psi \in Lie\big(Aut(TM, \omega)\big)$ which anti-commutes with J and $\tilde{J}$ such that $\tilde{J} = J \circ \exp(\Psi)$.

The space AK_ω is identified, via the symplectic form ω, with the space of associated metrics (see [4, 5]). When (M, ω) is compact, the set of all riemannian metrics is equipped with a riemannian metric. The tangent space at a point is given by the symmetric 2-tensors. Now, Ebin [10] describes the geodesics in the subset N_ω of riemannian metrics with the (same) volume form $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ and they are given by curves of the form $g_t = g\exp(t\Psi)$, where Ψ is a g-symmetric endomorphism with zero trace with respect to the metric g. The space AK_ω is a proper subset of N_ω. Furthermore, Blair [4, 5] showed that the space AK_ω is totally geodesic in N_ω. More explicitely, the geodesics in AK_ω are of the form $J_t = J \circ \exp(t\Psi)$, where $J \in AK_\omega$ and Ψ is g-symmetric endomorphism which anti-commutes with J (in particular, Ψ is of trace zero with respect to the metric g induced by J).

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let (M, ω) be a $2n$-dimensional compact symplectic manifold and T a maximal torus in $Ham(M, \omega)$. Let J_t a smooth family of ω-compatible T-invariant almost-complex structures in AK^T_ω such that J_0 induces an extremal almost-Kähler metric. Denote by $g_t(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J_t\cdot)$ the induced metric.

Let f be a function in the Fréchet space $\widetilde{C}^\infty_T(M, \mathbb{R})$ of T-invariant smooth functions (with zero mean value), which are L^2-orthogonal, with respect to $\frac{\omega^n}{n!}$, to $\mathfrak{t}_\omega$. Let X_f be the hamiltonian vector field corresponding to f in the sense that $-df = \omega(X_f, \cdot)$. Let D^{g_t} is the Levi-Civita connection associated to the metric g_t. By the equality 2.4, the g_t-symmetric endomorphism of TM given by $(D^{g_t}X_f)^{sym}$

anti-commutes with J_t. Furthermore, the endomorphism $J_t \circ (D^{g_t} X_f)^{sym}$ is g_t-symmetric and anti-commutes with J_t.

We consider then the following ω-compatible almost-complex structures deformations

$$J_{t,f} = J_t \circ \exp\big(J_t \circ (D^{g_t} X_f)^{sym}\big),$$

By the discussion in Section 5, the endomorphism $J_{t,f}$ is an ω-compatible almost-complex structure. Remark that $J_{t,0} = J_t$.

We define the map

$$\begin{array}{rrcl} \Phi : & \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{C}^{\infty}_T(M,\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{C}^{\infty}_T(M,\mathbb{R}) \\ & (t,f) & \longmapsto & \big(Id - \Pi^T_{\omega}\big)\, \mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,f}}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,f}}$ is the zero integral part of the hermitian scalar curvature $s^{\nabla_{t,f}}$ of the almost-Kähler structure $(\omega, J_{t,f})$. By Proposition 3.4, $\Phi(t,f) = 0$ if and only if the induced metric by $(\omega, J_{t,f})$ is an extremal almost-Kähler metric. In particular, $\Phi(0,0) = 0$.

Let $\widetilde{W}^{p,k}$ be the completion of $\widetilde{C}^{\infty}_T(M,\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,k}$ involving derivatives up to order k. Denote by $\Phi^{(p,k)} : \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{W}^{p,k+4} \longrightarrow \widetilde{W}^{p,k}$ the extension of Φ to the Sobolev completion of $\widetilde{C}^{\infty}_T(M,\mathbb{R})$. Clearly, the map $\Phi^{(p,k)}$ is a C^1 map.

Using the formula 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, the partial derivative $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial f}|_{(0,0)}$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial f}|_{(0,0)}(\dot{f}) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} s^{\nabla_{0,\epsilon f}}|_{\epsilon=0} = -\delta^{g_0} J_0 \delta^{g_0} J_0 J_0 (D^{g_0} J_0 d\dot{f})^{sym} = \delta^{g_0} J_0 \delta^{g_0} (D^{g_0} J_0 d\dot{f})^{sym}.$$

The operator $L(f) = \delta^{g_0} J_0 \delta^{g_0} (D^{g_0} J_0 df)^{sym}$ coincides, when J_0 is integrable, with the Lichnerowicz operator. The operator L was used by Smolentsev [27] to compute the sectional curvature of the space AK_{ω}. It is a 4-th order self-adjoint T-invariant elliptic linear operator leaving invariant $(\mathfrak{t}_{\omega})^{\perp}$ since $L(f) = 0$ for any $f \in \mathfrak{t}_{\omega}$. Any function f in the kernel of the operator L gives rise to a Killing vector field in the centralizer of $\mathfrak{t} = Lie(T)$. By the maximality of the torus T, $f \in \mathfrak{t}_{\omega}$. We deduce from elliptic theory [3, 32], that the operator L is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{C}^{\infty}_T(M,\mathbb{R})$ and also from $\widetilde{W}^{p,k+4}$ to $\widetilde{W}^{p,k}$.

It follows from the implicit function theorem for Banach spaces that there exist $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ such that for $|t| < \epsilon$, there exists f_t satisfying $\|f_t\|_{p,k} < \delta$ such that $\Phi^{(p,k)}(t, f_t) = 0$. In particular, $f_0 \equiv 0$. Hence, the almost-Kähler metrics (ω, J_{t,f_t}) are extremal of regularity $\widetilde{W}^{p,k+2}$.

Since we did not deform the symplectic form ω, the hermitian scalar curvature $s^{\nabla_{t,f_t}}$ of the extremal almost-Kähler metric (ω, J_{t,f_t}) verifies $\mathring{s}^{\nabla_{t,f_t}} = \mathring{s}^{\nabla_{0,0}}$. Since the extremal almost-Kähler metric (ω, J_0) is smooth, we deduce that $s^{\nabla_{t,f_t}}$ is smooth. In addition, the linearization of the hermitian scalar curvature $s^{\nabla_{t,f}}$ of $(\omega, J_{t,f})$ (with respect to the function f) is an elliptic operator given by the operator L. It follows from elliptic theory [3] that the extremal almost-Kähler metrics (ω, J_{t,f_t}) are smooth. The theorem follows.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Prof. T.-J. Li for many helpful conversations and advices; Prof. V. Apostolov for his hospitality during a week at UQÀM and for his encouragement and suggestions.

References

[1] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon & C. W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Kähler metrics on projective bundles over a curve*, preprint 2009, math.DG/0905.0498.

[2] V. Apostolov & T. Drăghici, *The curvature and the integrability of almost-Kähler manifolds: a survey*, Fields Inst. Communications Series **35** AMS (2003), 25–53.

[3] A.L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1987).

[4] D. E. Blair, *On the set of metrics associated to a symplectic or contact form*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **11** (1983), 297–308.

[5] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Second edition, Progress in Math., **203**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2010.

[6] E. Calabi, *Extremal Kähler metrics*, in Seminar of Differential Geometry, S. T. Yau (eds), Annals of Mathematics Studies. **102** Princeton University Press (1982), 259–290.

[7] S. K. Donaldson, *Remarks on Gauge theory, complex geometry and 4-manifolds topology*, in 'The Fields Medallists Lectures" (eds. M. Atiyah and D. Iagolnitzer), pp. 384–403, World Scientific, 1997.

[8] T. Drăghici, *Lecture notes and private communications.*

[9] T. Drăghici, T.-J. Li & W. Zhang, *Symplectic forms and cohomology decomposition of almost complex four-manifolds*, preprint 2008, math.DG/0812.3680.

[10] D. Ebin, *The manifold of Riemannian metrics,* Proc. Symposia Pure Math. XV, Amer. Math. Soc., 11–40.

[11] J. Fogarty, F.Kirwan & D. Mumford *Geometric invariant theory*, (1994) Third edition, Erg. Math. **34**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[12] A. Fujiki, *Moduli space of polarized algebraic manifolds and Kähler metrics*, [translation of Sugaku **42**, no 3 (1990), 231–243], Sugaku Expositions 5, no. 2 (1992), 173–191.

[13] A. Fujiki & G. Schumacher, *The moduli space of extremal compact Kähler manifolds and generalized Weil-Petersson metrics*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), 101–183.

[14] P. Gauduchon, *Calabi's extremal Kähler metrics: An elementary introduction.* In preparation.

[15] P. Gauduchon, *Hermitian connections and Dirac operators,* Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) 11 (1997), no. 2, suppl., 257–288.

[16] K. Kodaira, *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, Translated from the Japanese original by Kazuo Akao. Reprint of the 1986 English edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2005).

[17] K. Kodaira & J. Morrow, *Complex manifolds*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc. (1971).

[18] C. LeBrun & S. R. Simanca, *Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), 179–200.

[19] M. Lejmi, *Extremal almost-Kähler metrics*, Internat. J. Math., (2010), no.12, 1639–1662.

[20] M. Lejmi, *Stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics in dimension* 4, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 4, 601–612.

[21] P. Libermann, *Sur les connexions hermitiennes,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **239**, (1954). 1579–1581.

[22] T.-J. Li, *Symplectic Calabi-Yau surfaces: a survey.*

[23] T.-J. Li & A. Tomassini, *Almost-Kähler structures on four dimensional unimodular Lie algebras*, preprint 2012, math.SG/1203.4331.

[24] S. A. Merkulov, *Formality of canonical symplectic complexes and Frobenius manifolds*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1998), no. 14, 727–733.

[25] O. Mohsen, *Symplectomorphismes hamiltoniens et métriques kählériennes*, 22 Mai 2003 (Mémoire de DEA, Univ. Paris 7).

[26] Y. Rollin, S. R. Simanca & C. Tipler, *Deformations of extremal metrics, complex manifolds and the Futaki invariant*, preprint 2012, math. DG/1107.0456.

[27] N. K. Smolentsev, *On curvature of the space of associated metrics on a symplectic manifold*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **132** (2010), no 1. 132–139.

[28] G. Székelyhidi, *The Kähler-Ricci flow and K-polystability*, Amer. J. Math., **33** (1991), no 4. 1077–1090.

[29] Q. Tan, H. Wang, Y. Zhang & P. Zhu, *On cohomology of almost complex* 4*-manifolds*, preprint 2011, math.DG/1112.0768.

[30] L. Vezzoni, *A note on canonical Ricci forms on* 2*-step nilmanifolds*, To appear in Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc., preprint 2012, math.DG/1202.6227

[31] B. Weinkove, *The Calabi-Yau equation on almost-Kähler four-manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **76** (2007) 317–349.

[32] R. O. Wells, *Differential analysis in complex manifolds*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 65, Springer-Verlag (1980).

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Minnesota), 55455, USA

E-mail address: `mlejmi@umn.edu`